

CONVERSE ROGERS-HÖLDER'S INEQUALITY ON TIME SCALES

Chen-Huang Hong¹, and Cheh-Chih Yeh²

¹General Education Center
National Taipei University of Technology
Taipei, 106, Taiwan, Republic of China
hongch@ntut.edu.tw

²Department of Information Management
Lunghwa University of Science and Technology
Kueishan Taoyuan, 33306, Taiwan, Republic of China
ccyeh@mail.lhu.edu.tw

Communicated by D.D. Bainov

ABSTRACT: Converse Rogers-Hölder's inequalities are established on time scales by using elementary method.

AMS (MOS) Subject Classification: 26D15, 26D20

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1964, Diaz et al [2] and Marshall and Olkin [6] established independently the following converse Rogers-Hölder's inequality, see also Liu [4], Mitrinović [7], Mond and Ječarić [8], Wang [9].

Theorem A. *Let f and g be nonnegative functions on $[a, b]$. If there exist two positive constants m and M such that*

$$m \leq \frac{f^p(x)}{g^q(x)} \leq M$$

on $[a, b]$, where $p, q \in (1, \infty)$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, then

$$c^*(p, q, l) \left(\int_a^b f^p(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_a^b g^q(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \int_a^b f(x)g(x) dx,$$

where

$$\begin{cases} l = \frac{M}{m}; \\ c^*(p, q, l) = p^{\frac{1}{p}} q^{\frac{1}{q}} l^{\frac{1}{pq}} (l^{\frac{1}{q}} - 1)^{\frac{1}{q}} (l^{\frac{1}{p}} - 1)^{\frac{1}{p}} (l - 1)^{-1}. \end{cases}$$

The purpose of this note is to extend Theorem A to time scale version by using Rogers-Hölder's inequality (Lemma B below).

2. PRELIMINARIES AND LEMMAS

We first briefly introduce the time scales calculus.

By a time scale \mathbb{T} we mean any closed subset of the set \mathbb{R} of all real numbers with order and topological structure in a canonical way. Since a time scale \mathbb{T} may or may not be connected, we need the concept of jump operators.

Definition. Let $t \in \mathbb{T}$, where \mathbb{T} is a time scale, then two mappings

$$\sigma, \rho : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

satisfying

$$\sigma(t) = \inf\{s \in \mathbb{T} | s > t\}, \quad \rho(t) = \sup\{s \in \mathbb{T} | s > t\}$$

are called the jump operators.

A point $t \in \mathbb{T}$, $t > \inf \mathbb{T}$, is said to be *right-scattered* if $\sigma(t) > t$, *left-scattered* if $\rho(t) < t$, *right-dense* if $\sigma(t) = t$, *left-dense* if $\rho(t) = t$.

Throughout this paper, we suppose that:

- (a) $\mathbb{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$;
- (b) \mathbb{T} is a time scale;
- (c) an interval means the intersection of a real interval with the given time scale.

Definition. A mapping $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called *rd-continuous* if the following two conditions hold:

- (a) f is continuous at each right-dense point or maximal point of \mathbb{T} ;
- (b) $\lim_{s \rightarrow t^-} g(s) = g(t^-)$ exists for each left-dense point $t \in \mathbb{T}$.

The set of all rd-continuous functions from \mathbb{T} to \mathbb{R} is denoted by $C_{rd}[\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}]$. Let

$$\mathbb{T}^k := \begin{cases} \mathbb{T} - \{m\}, & \text{if } \mathbb{T} \text{ has a left-scattered maximal point } m, \\ \mathbb{T}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Definition. Assume that $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$, then we define $f^\Delta(t)$ to be the number (if it exists) with property that if for any given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a neighborhood U of t such that

$$\left| f(\sigma(t)) - f(s) - f^\Delta(t)[\sigma(t) - s] \right| \leq \epsilon |\sigma(t) - s|$$

for all $s \in U$. In this case, f is said to be *delta-differentiable* at t .

Definition. A function $g : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called an antiderivative of $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ if $g^\Delta(t) = f(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$. In this case, we define the integral of f by

$$\int_s^t f(u) \Delta u = g(t) - g(s)$$

for all $s, t \in T$, and we say that f is integrable on \mathbb{T} .

It follows from Theorem 1.74 of Bohner and Peterson [1] that every rd-continuous function has an antiderivative.

For further concerning the time scale, we refer to Bohner and Peterson [1], Lakshmikantham et al [3].

3. MAIN RESULTS

To prove our main result, we need the following Rogers-Hölder's inequality.

Lemma B. Let $a, b \in [0, \infty)$ and $p, q \in \mathbb{R} - \{0, 1\}$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Then:

- (a) $ab > \frac{a^p}{p} + \frac{b^q}{q}$ if $p < 1$ and $p \neq 0$;
- (b) $ab \leq \frac{a^p}{p} + \frac{b^q}{q}$ if $p > 1$, with equality if and only if $a^p = b^q$.

We now state and prove our main result as follows:

Theorem 1. Let $h, f, g \in C_{rd}([a, b], [0, \infty))$ and there exist two positive constants m and M such that

$$m \leq \frac{f^p(x)}{g^q(x)} \leq M,$$

where $p, q \in \mathbb{R} - \{0, 1\}$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. If

$$c(p, q, l) = |p|^{-\frac{1}{p}} |q|^{-\frac{1}{q}} l^{-\frac{1}{pq}} (l^{\frac{1}{q}} - 1)^{-\frac{1}{q}} (l^{\frac{1}{p}} - 1)^{-\frac{1}{p}} (l - 1),$$

where $l = \frac{M}{m}$, then the following two statements hold:

(A) If $p < 1$ and $p \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_a^b h(x) f^p(x) \Delta x \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} & \left(\int_a^b h(x) g^q(x) \Delta x \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ & \geq c(p, q, l) \int_a^b h(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x \end{aligned} \tag{R_1}$$

provided either $\int_a^b h(x)f^p(x)\Delta x > 0$ or $\int_a^b h(x)g^q(x)\Delta x > 0$.

(B) If $p > 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_a^b h(x)f^p(x)\Delta x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_a^b h(x)g^q(x)\Delta x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ \leq c(p, q, l) \int_a^b h(x)f(x)g(x)\Delta x, \end{aligned} \quad (R_2)$$

Proof of (A). Without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$\int_a^b h(x)f(x)g(x)\Delta x < \infty.$$

It follows from (a) of Lemma B that for each $y > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_a^b h(x)f^p(x)\Delta x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_a^b h(x)g^q(x)\Delta x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \left(\int_a^b y^{\frac{1}{q}}h(x)f^p(x)\Delta x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_a^b y^{-\frac{1}{p}}h(x)g^q(x)\Delta x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p} \int_a^b y^{\frac{1}{q}}h(x)f^p(x)\Delta x + \frac{1}{q} \int_a^b y^{-\frac{1}{p}}h(x)g^q(x)\Delta x \\ &= \int_a^b h(x)f(x)g(x) \left[\frac{1}{p} \left(\frac{yf^p(x)}{g^q(x)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} + \frac{1}{q} \left(\frac{yf^p(x)}{g^q(x)}\right)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \right] \Delta x. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$k(x) = \frac{1}{p}x^{\frac{1}{q}} + \frac{1}{q}x^{-\frac{1}{p}} \quad (1)$$

on $(0, \infty)$. Then

$$k'(x) = \frac{x^{-\frac{1}{p}}}{pq} \left(1 - \frac{1}{x}\right).$$

Obviously, $k(x)$ is increasing on $(0, 1)$ and $k(x)$ is decreasing on $(1, \infty)$. Hence $k(x) \leq k(1) = 1$ for each $x > 0$. Thus for each $y > 0$,

$$k\left(\frac{yf^p(x)}{g^q(x)}\right) \geq \min\{k(my), k(My)\}.$$

It follows from $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ that:

(i) $q < 0$ if $0 < p < 1$;

(ii) $q > 0$ if $p < 0$.

By $M > m > 0$, we see that:

case (i) implies $M^{-\frac{1}{p}} - m^{-\frac{1}{p}} < 0$ and $m^{\frac{1}{q}} - M^{\frac{1}{q}} > 0$;

case (ii) implies $M^{-\frac{1}{p}} - m^{-\frac{1}{p}} > 0$ and $m^{\frac{1}{q}} - M^{\frac{1}{q}} < 0$.

Thus, if we take

$$y = \frac{p M^{-\frac{1}{p}} - m^{-\frac{1}{p}}}{q m^{\frac{1}{q}} - M^{\frac{1}{q}}}, \tag{2}$$

then $y > 0$. A straightforward calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} k(my) &= |p|^{-\frac{1}{p}} |q|^{-\frac{1}{q}} \left\{ \left(\frac{l^{\frac{1}{p}} - 1}{l^{\frac{1}{q}} - 1} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} l^{-\frac{1}{pq}} + \left(\frac{l^{\frac{1}{q}} - 1}{l^{\frac{1}{p}} - 1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} l^{\frac{1}{p^2}} \right\} \\ &= |p|^{-\frac{1}{p}} |q|^{-\frac{1}{q}} \frac{l^{-\frac{1}{pq}} (l^{\frac{1}{p}} - 1) + l^{\frac{1}{p^2}} (l^{\frac{1}{q}} - 1)}{(l^{\frac{1}{q}} - 1)^{\frac{1}{q}} (l^{\frac{1}{p}} - 1)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$l^{-\frac{1}{pq}} (l^{\frac{1}{p}} - 1) + l^{\frac{1}{p^2}} (l^{\frac{1}{q}} - 1) = l^{-\frac{1}{pq}} (l - 1).$$

Hence

$$k(my) = c(p, q, l). \tag{3}$$

Similarly,

$$k(My) = |p|^{-\frac{1}{p}} |q|^{-\frac{1}{q}} \left\{ \left(\frac{l^{\frac{1}{q}} - 1}{l^{\frac{1}{p}} - 1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} l^{-\frac{1}{pq}} + \left(\frac{l^{\frac{1}{p}} - 1}{l^{\frac{1}{q}} - 1} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} l^{\frac{1}{q^2}} \right\} = c(p, q, l). \tag{4}$$

It follows from (3) and (4) that

$$\min\{k(my), k(My)\} = c(p, q, l).$$

This completes the proof of (R_1) . □

Proof of (B). It follows from $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and $p > 1$ that $q > 1$. Thus, by $M > m$,

$$M^{-\frac{1}{p}} - m^{-\frac{1}{p}} < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad m^{\frac{1}{q}} - M^{\frac{1}{q}} < 0.$$

Let $k(x)$ and y be defined as (1) and (2), respectively, then $y > 0$. As in the proof of case (A), we see that

$$k\left(\frac{yf^p(x)}{g^q(x)}\right) \leq \max\{k(my), k(My)\} = c(p, q, l).$$

This and (b) of Lemma B imply

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\int_a^b h(x) f^p(x) \Delta x \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_a^b h(x) g^q(x) \Delta x \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq \int_a^b h(x) f(x) g(x) \left[\frac{1}{p} \left(\frac{yf^p(x)}{g^q(x)} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} + \frac{1}{q} \left(\frac{yf^p(x)}{g^q(x)} \right)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \right] \Delta x \\ &\leq c(p, q, l) \int_a^b h(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we complete the proof of (R_2) . □

Remark 1. Let $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$. If $h, f, g \in C([a, b], [0, \infty))$ and

$$m \leq \frac{f^p(x)}{g^q(x)} \leq M \quad \text{on } [a, b],$$

where p, q, m, M and $c(p, q, l)$ are defined as in Theorem 1, then the following two statements hold:

(A*) If $p < 0$ and $p \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_a^b h(x) f^p(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} & \left(\int_a^b h(x) g^q(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ & \geq c(p, q, l) \int_a^b h(x) f(x) g(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

provided either $\int_a^b h(x) f^p(x) dx > 0$ or $\int_a^b h(x) g^q(x) dx > 0$.

(B*) If $p > 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_a^b h(x) f^p(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} & \left(\int_a^b h(x) g^q(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ & \leq c(p, q, l) \int_a^b h(x) f(x) g(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Marshall and Olkin [6] proved (B*) by using the probability method.

Corollary 2. Let f, g, p, q, l and $c(p, q, l)$ be defined as in Theorem 1. If there exists two positive constants m and M such that $m \leq \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \leq M$ on $[a, b]$, then the following two statements hold:

(a) if $p < 1$ and $p \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_a^b h(x) f(x) \Delta x \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} & \left(\int_a^b h(x) g(x) \Delta x \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ & \geq c(p, q, l) \int_a^b h(x) f^{\frac{1}{p}}(x) g^{\frac{1}{q}}(x) \Delta x, \end{aligned}$$

provided either $\int_a^b h(x) f(x) \Delta x > 0$ or $\int_a^b h(x) g(x) \Delta x > 0$,

(b) if $p > 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_a^b h(x) f(x) \Delta x \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} & \left(\int_a^b h(x) g(x) \Delta x \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ & \leq c(p, q, l) \int_a^b h(x) f^{\frac{1}{p}}(x) g^{\frac{1}{q}}(x) \Delta x. \end{aligned}$$

Letting f and g be replaced by $f^{\frac{1}{pq}}$ and $f^{-\frac{1}{pq}}$ in Theorem 1, respectively, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3. *Let h, f, p, q, l and $c(p, q, l)$ be defined as in Theorem 1. If there exist two positive constants m and M such that $0 < m \leq f(x) \leq M$, then the following two statements hold:*

(a) *if $p < 1, p \neq 0$, then*

$$\left(\int_a^b h(x) f^{\frac{1}{p}}(x) \Delta x \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_a^b h(x) f^{-\frac{1}{p}}(x) \Delta x \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \geq c(p, q, l) \int_a^b h(x) \Delta x,$$

provided either $\int_a^b h(x) f^{\frac{1}{p}} \Delta x > 0$ or $\int_a^b h(x) f^{-\frac{1}{p}} \Delta x > 0$;

(b) *if $p > 1$, then*

$$\left(\int_a^b h(x) f^{\frac{1}{q}}(x) \Delta x \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_a^b h(x) f^{-\frac{1}{p}}(x) \Delta x \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < c(p, q, l) \int_a^b h(x) \Delta x.$$

Corollary 4. *Let $a_i, b_i, c_i \in (0, \infty)$ and there exist two positive constants m and M such that $m \leq \frac{a_i^p}{b_i^q} \leq M$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \dots$, where $p, q \in \mathbb{R} - \{0, 1\}$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, then the following four statements hold:*

(a) *if $p < 1$ and $p \neq 0$, then*

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i^p c_i \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i^q c_i \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \geq c(p, q, l) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i b_i c_i,$$

(b) *if $p < 1$ and $p \neq 0$, then*

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^p c_i \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^q c_i \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \geq c(p, q, l) \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i c_i,$$

(c) *if $p > 1$, then*

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i^p c_i \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i^q c_i \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq c(p, q, l) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i b_i c_i,$$

(d) *if $p > 1$, then*

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^p c_i \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^q c_i \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq c(p, q, l) \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i c_i,$$

where l and $c(p, q, l)$ are defined as in Theorem 1.

Remark 2. If $m \leq \frac{a_i^p}{b_i^q} \leq M$ is replaced by $m \leq \frac{a_i}{b_i} \leq M$ in Corollary 4 for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then (a) – (d) of Corollary 4 are, respectively, reduced to the following four statements:

(a*) if $p < 1$ and $p \neq 0$, then

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i c_i \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i c_i \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \geq c(p, q, l) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i^{\frac{1}{p}} b_i^{\frac{1}{q}} c_i,$$

(b*) if $p < 1$ and $p \neq 0$, then

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^p c_i \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^q c_i \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \geq c(p, q, l) \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^{\frac{1}{p}} b_i^{\frac{1}{q}} c_i,$$

(c*) if $p > 1$, then

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i c_i \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i c_i \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq c(p, q, l) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i b_i c_i,$$

(d*) if $p > 1$, then

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^p c_i \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^q c_i \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \geq c(p, q, l) \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i c_i.$$

Corollary 5. (Converse Minkowski's Inequality) *Let f, g, h, p, q, l and $c(p, q, l)$ be defined as in Theorem 1. Then the following two statements hold:*

(a) if $p < 1$ and $p \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_a^b h(x)(f(x) + g(x))^p \Delta x \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \geq c(p, q, l) \left[\left(\int_a^b h(x) f^p(x) \Delta x \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\int_a^b h(x) g^q(x) \Delta x \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right], \end{aligned}$$

provided $\int_a^b h(x)(f(x) + g(x))^p \Delta x > 0$ when $p < 0$,

(b) if $p > 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_a^b h(x)(f(x) + g(x))^p \Delta x \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \leq c(p, q, l) \left[\left(\int_a^b h(x) f^p(x) \Delta x \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\int_a^b h(x) g^q(x) \Delta x \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 6. *Let f, g, h and $c(p, q, l)$ be defined as in Theorem 1 and satisfy*

$$m \leq \frac{f^p(x)}{g^q(x)} \leq M$$

on $[a, b]$ for some positive constants m and M , where $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{r}$ and $\frac{r}{p}, \frac{r}{q} \notin \{0, 1\}$, then the following two statements hold:

(a) if $\frac{r}{p} < 1$ and $\frac{r}{p} \neq 0$, then

$$\left(\int_a^b h(x) f^p(x) \Delta x \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_a^b h(x) g^q(x) \Delta x \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \geq c(p, q, l)^{\frac{1}{r}} \int_a^b h(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x,$$

provided either $\int_a^b h(x) f^p \Delta x > 0$ or $\int_a^b h(x) g^q \Delta x > 0$;

(b) if $\frac{r}{p} > 1$, then

$$\left(\int_a^b h(x) f^p(x) \Delta x \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_a^b h(x) g^q(x) \Delta x \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq c(p, q, l)^{\frac{1}{r}} \int_a^b h(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, *Dynamic Equations on Time Scales*, Birkhäuser, Boston-Basel-Berlin, 2001.
- [2] J.B. Diaz, A.J. Goldman, and F.T. Metcalf, Equivalence of certain inequalities complementing those of Cauchy-Schwarz and Hölder, *J. Res. NBS.*, **68B** (1964), 147-149.
- [3] V. Lakshmikantham, S. Sivasundaram, and B. Kaymakcalan, *Dynamic Systems on Measure Chains*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht-Boston-London, 1996
- [4] X.H. Liu, On an inverse Hölder's inequality, *Mathematics in Practice and Theory*, **20** (1990), 84-88.
- [5] L. Maligranda, Why Hölder's inequality should be called Rogers' inequality, *Math. Inequ. Appl.*, **1** (1998), 69-83.
- [6] A. W. Marshall and I. Olkin, Reversal of the Lyapunov, Hölder and Minkowski inequalities and other extensions of the Kantorovich inequality, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **8** (1964), 503-514.
- [7] D.S. Mitrinović, *Analytic Inequalities*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1970.
- [8] B. Mond and J.E. Ječarić, On converses of Hölder and Beckenbach inequalities, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **196** (1995), 795-799,

- [9] C.L. Wang, On development of inverses of the Cauchy and Hölder inequalities, *Siam Review*, **21** (1979), 550-557.