

## ON THE SUBMARTINGALE CHARACTERIZATION OF BANACH LATTICES

RAFAL KAPICA

Institute of Mathematics, Silesian University  
Bankowa 14, PL-40-007 Katowice, Poland  
*E-mail:* rkapica@ux2.math.us.edu.pl

**ABSTRACT.** We propose a submartingale characterization of some Banach lattices, viz.  $AL$ -spaces and  $KB$ -spaces.

**AMS (MOS) Subject Classification.** Primary 46B42. Secondary 46B40, 60B11.

**Key words:** Banach lattice, submartingale, the Doob's condition

Let  $E$  be a Banach lattice, i.e., see [8] and [14], a vector lattice equipped with monotone ( $0 \leq x \leq y$  implies  $\|x\| \leq \|y\|$ ) and complete norm. As usual, if  $x \in E$ , then  $x^+ = \sup\{x, 0\}$ ,  $x^- = \inf\{x, 0\}$ ,  $|x| = x^+ + x^-$ , and by  $E_+$  we denote the cone of all positive elements of  $E$ . Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$  be a probability space and  $(\mathcal{A}_n)$  is an increasing sequence of sub- $\sigma$ -algebras of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Similarly to the real case we will say that the sequence  $(X_n, \mathcal{A}_n)$  of  $E$ -valued integrable random variables is a submartingale if  $X_n$  is  $\mathcal{A}_n$ -measurable and  $\mathbb{E}(X_{n+1}|\mathcal{A}_n) \geq X_n$  a.e. for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The classical Doob's theorem says that the condition  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E}X_n^+ < \infty$  guarantees the a.s. convergence of the real submartingale  $(X_n)$ . In the vector case this Doob's condition can be written as

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E}\|X_n^+\| < \infty \quad (1)$$

or as

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E}X_n^+ \text{ exists in } E. \quad (2)$$

It is well known, that in general neither is sufficient to assure the almost sure convergence of the submartingale. However, in the separable lattices, every  $E$ -valued submartingale  $(X_n)$  can be (uniquely) written as

$$X_n = M_n + A_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (3)$$

where  $(M_n)$  is a martingale and the sequence  $(A_n)$  of positive functions is predictable, i.e.,  $A_n \in L^1(\mathcal{A}_{n-1})$ , increasing and a.s. convergent; this is the Doob's decomposition.

According to [12, Theorem 4.1] the lattice  $E$  has the Radon-Nikodym property if and only if each  $E$ -valued submartingale  $(X_n)$  satisfying (1) and

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E} \|M_n^-\| < \infty \quad (4)$$

a.s. converges (cf. also [2]). Other martingale characterizations of order or geometric structure of the underlying Banach lattice may found in [6], [10], [11]. In the present paper we propose such characterizations both of  $AL$ -spaces and  $KB$ -spaces. Remained that  $E$  is an  $AL$ -space if  $\|x + y\| = \|x\| + \|y\|$  for  $x, y \in E_+$ , and  $E$  is a  $KB$ -space if every norm bounded increasing sequence in  $E$  converges. Note that any  $KB$ -space has order continuous norm, hence, in particular,  $\sigma$ -order continuous, i.e., if  $(x_n)$  decreases and  $\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x_n = 0$ , then  $(x_n)$  converges to zero in norm. The most famous characterization of  $AL$ -spaces was given by Kakutani (see [5]), but following [10] (cf. also [11]) we shall use a quite different characterization. Namely, due to Schlotterbeck [9],  $E$  is an  $AL$ -space if and only if every positive summable sequence in  $E$  is absolutely summable. Inspired by J. Szulga [11] and by J. Szulga and W. A. Woyczyński [12] we will prove the following theorems.

**Theorem 1.** *A separable Banach lattice  $E$  is isomorphic to an  $AL$ -space if and only if for each  $E$ -valued submartingale with the Doob's decomposition (3), condition (1) implies (4).*

**Theorem 2.** *For a Banach lattice  $E$ , the following statements are equivalent:*

- ( $\alpha$ )  $E$  is a  $KB$ -space;
- ( $\beta$ ) for every sublattice  $Y$  of  $E$  and for every  $Y$ -valued submartingale  $(X_n)$  condition (1) implies that  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E} X_n^+$  exists in  $Y$ ;
- ( $\gamma$ )  $E$  has  $\sigma$ -order continuous norm and for each  $E$ -valued submartingale  $(X_n)$  condition (1) implies (2).

Note that Theorem 1 and [12, Theorem 4.1] imply the following well known submartingale convergence theorem.

**Corollary 3.** *If  $E$  is isomorphic to  $l_1$ , then every  $E$ -valued submartingale satisfying (1) converges a.s. to an integrable function.*

**Remark 4.** In [12, Theorem 4.1] one can find another martingale-type condition equivalent to the Radon-Nikodym property of the separable Banach lattice, viz.

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E} \|X_n^+\|^p < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E} \|M_n^-\|^p < \infty$$

for a given  $p \in (1, \infty)$  implies the convergence of  $(X_n)$  in  $L^p(E)$ . Note however, that the condition  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E} \|X_n^+\|^p < \infty$  in general does not imply (even in the scalar case) that  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E} \|M_n^-\|^p < \infty$ . To see this it is enough to take (see [11])  $X_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{k^p} - k \mathbf{1}_{S_k}\right)$ , where  $\{S_k\}$  is a family of independent events with  $P(S_k) = \frac{1}{k^{p+1}}$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume that  $(X_n, \mathcal{A}_n)$  is an  $E$ -valued submartingale satisfying (1). Since

$$M_0 = X_0, \quad M_n = X_0 + \sum_{k=1}^n (X_k - \mathbb{E}(X_k | \mathcal{A}_{k-1})),$$

it follows that

$$\mathbb{E}(M_n - X_0) = \sum_{k=1}^n (\mathbb{E}X_k - \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(X_k | \mathcal{A}_{k-1}))) = 0,$$

whence

$$\mathbb{E}(M_n - X_0)^+ = \mathbb{E}(M_n - X_0)^-. \quad (5)$$

Suppose first that  $E$  is an  $AL$ -space. Then it is easily shown that for each integrable function  $\Phi : \Omega \rightarrow E_+$  we have

$$\mathbb{E}|\Phi| = \|\mathbb{E}\Phi\|.$$

From this and (5) we get

$$\mathbb{E}\|(M_n - X_0)^+\| = \mathbb{E}\|(M_n - X_0)^-\|. \quad (6)$$

On the other hand,

$$M_n^+ \leq X_n^+, \quad (M_n - X_0)^+ \leq M_n^+ + X_0^-, \quad M_n^- \leq (X_0 - M_n)^+ + X_0^-.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_n^+\| &\leq \|X_n^+\|, \quad \|(M_n - X_0)^+\| \leq \|M_n^+\| + \|X_0^-\|, \\ \|M_n^-\| &\leq \|(X_0 - M_n)^+\| + \|X_0^-\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, according to (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\|M_n^-\| &\leq \mathbb{E}\|(M_n - X_0)^-\| + \mathbb{E}\|X_0^-\| \\ &= \mathbb{E}\|(M_n - X_0)^+\| + \mathbb{E}\|X_0^-\| \leq \mathbb{E}\|X_n^+\| + 2\mathbb{E}\|X_0^-\|. \end{aligned}$$

This gives (4).

Now, if  $T$  is a lattice isomorphism of  $E$  onto an  $AL$ -space, then  $(T \circ X_n)$  is a submartingale with the Doob's decomposition

$$T \circ X_n = T \circ M_n + T \circ A_n$$

for which

$$\mathbb{E}\|(T \circ X_n)^+\| \leq \|T\| \mathbb{E}\|X_n^+\|.$$

Consequently  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E}\|(T \circ X_n)^+\| < \infty$  and by the first part of our proof we obtain

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E}\|M_n^-\| \leq \|T^{-1}\| \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E}\|(T \circ M_n)^-\| < \infty.$$

For the converse, basing on J. Szulga's idea [11], let  $(x_n)$  be a summable sequence of positive elements of  $E$ . On account of the above mentioned characterization theorem of U. Schlotterbeck it is enough to prove that the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$  absolutely

converges. Due to [11, Lemma 3] there exists a sequence  $(\xi_n)$  of positive independent random variables such that  $\mathbb{E}\xi_n = 1$  and for some positive constant  $c$  we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \|x_k\| \leq c \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \xi_k \right\| \quad (7)$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Clearly

$$M_n = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k (1 - \xi_k)$$

is a martingale and  $M_n^+ \leq \sum_{k=1}^n x_k$ . Hence  $(M_n)$  is  $L^1$ -bounded. From this and

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \xi_k \right\| \leq \mathbb{E} \|M_n\| + \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k \right\|$$

we see that

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \xi_k \right\| < \infty,$$

and by (7) the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$  is absolutely convergent as desired.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Recall first that for every normed lattice  $E$  the following simple fact holds

$$\text{if an increasing sequence } (x_n) \text{ of } E \text{ converges to } x, \text{ then } \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x_n = x. \quad (8)$$

$(\alpha) \Rightarrow (\beta) \wedge (\gamma)$ : Assume that  $Y$  is a sublattice of  $E$  and let  $(X_n)$  be an  $Y$ -valued submartingale satisfying (1). Since  $(X_n^+)$  is a positive submartingale, the sequence  $(\mathbb{E}X_n^+)$  increases, and being also bounded, converges in  $Y$ . By (8) we get that  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E}X_n^+$  exists in  $Y$ .

$(\gamma) \vee (\beta) \Rightarrow (\alpha)$ : Suppose  $E$  is not a  $KB$ -space. Then by the Tzafriri theorem (see [13], cf. also [8, 5.15 Proposition],  $c_0$  is (lattice) embeddable in  $E$ , i.e., there exists a sublattice  $Y$  of  $E$  and a lattice isomorphism  $T$  of  $c_0$  onto  $Y$ . Let  $(Z_n)$  be an arbitrary martingale with value in  $c_0$  such that  $(Z_n^+)$  is  $L^1$ -bounded but  $(\mathbb{E}Z_n^+)$  is not order bounded. (We can take, e.g.,  $(\sum_{k=1}^n r_k e_k, \sigma(\{r_1, \dots, r_n\}))$ , where  $r_k$  are the Rademacher functions on  $(0,1]$ , and  $e_k$  is the vector from  $c_0$  with 1 on the  $k$ -place and with 0 everywhere else; cf. [7, pp. 110–111].) Clearly  $X_n := T \circ Z_n$  is a  $Y$ -valued submartingale satisfying (1).

Assume  $(\gamma)$ . Then  $x := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E}X_n^+$  exists in  $E$  and  $(\mathbb{E}X_n^+)$  converges to  $x$ . Consequently  $x \in T(c_0)$  and  $(\mathbb{E}Z_n^+)$  converges to  $T^{-1}(x)$  in  $c_0$ . Applying (8) we get the boundedness of  $(\mathbb{E}X_n^+)$ , a contradiction.

In the case of  $(\beta)$  we see that  $x := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E}X_n^+$  exists in  $Y$ . Since  $Y$  has  $\sigma$ -order continuous norm (see [1, Exercise 1, p. 245], cf. also [4, p. 94], [3]), it follows that the sequence  $(\mathbb{E}X_n^+)$  converges to  $x$ , and we continue as above in the case of  $(\gamma)$ .  $\square$

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The research was supported by the Silesian University Mathematics Department (Iterative Functional Equations and Real Analysis program).

## REFERENCES

- [1] C. D. Aliprantis, O. Burkinshaw, Positive operators, *Academic Press, Inc.*, Orlando, FL, 1985.
- [2] S. D. Chatterji, Martingale convergence and the Radon–Nikodym theorem in Banach spaces, *Math. Scand.* **22** (1968), 21–41.
- [3] W. J. Davis, N. Ghoussoub, J. Lindenstrauss, A lattice renorming theorem and applications to vector-valued processes, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **263** (1981), 531–540.
- [4] M. Day, Normed linear spaces, 3rd ed., *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihre Grenzgebiete*, Band 21, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1973.
- [5] S. Kakutani, Concrete representation of abstract  $(L)$ -spaces and the mean ergodic theorem, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **42** (1941), 523–537.
- [6] K. Kunen, H. Rosenthal, Martingale proofs of some geometrical results in Banach space theory, *Pacific J. Math.*, **100** (1982), 153–175.
- [7] J. Neveu, Discrete-parameter martingales, *North-Holland Publishing Co.*, Amsterdam, Oxford; American Elsevier Publishing Co., Inc., New York, 1975.
- [8] H. H. Schaefer, Banach lattices and positive operators, *Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York-Heidelberg*, 1974.
- [9] U. Schlotterbeck, Über klassen majorisierbarer operatoren auf Banachverbänden, (*German*) *Rev. Acad. Ci. Zaragoza (2)* **26** (1971), 585–614.
- [10] J. Szulga, On the submartingale characterization of Banach lattices isomorphic to  $l_1$ , *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys.* **26** (1978), 65–68.
- [11] J. Szulga, Regularity of Banach lattice valued martingales, *Colloq. Math.* **41** (1979), 303–312.
- [12] J. Szulga, W. A. Woyczyński, Convergence of submartingales in Banach lattices, *Ann. Probability* **4** (1976), 464–469.
- [13] L. Tzafriri, Reflexivity in Banach lattices and their subspaces, *J. Functional Analysis* **10** (1972), 1–18.
- [14] W. Wnuk, Banach lattices with order continuous norms, *Advanced Topics in Mathematics*. Warsaw: Polish Scientific Publishers PWN, 1999.