

## WAVE EQUATIONS THAT ARE RADIALY SYMMETRIC

MARTN SCHECHTER

Department of Mathematics, University of California

Irvine, CA 92697-3875 USA

*E-mail:* mschecht@math.uci.edu

**ABSTRACT.** We study the periodic semilinear problem for the rotationally invariant wave equation. Our hypotheses are given in terms of the primitive of the nonlinearity.

**AMS (MOS) Subject Classification.** Primary 35J65, 58E05, 49J35

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we study periodic solutions of the rotationally invariant Dirichlet problem for the semilinear wave equation

$$\square u - \mu u = p(t, x, u), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x \in B_R \quad (1.1)$$

$$u(t, x) = 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x \in \partial B_R \quad (1.2)$$

$$u(t + T, x) = u(t, x), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x \in B_R, \quad (1.3)$$

where

$$\square u := u_{tt} - \Delta u, \quad (1.4)$$

$$B_R = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < R\}, \quad (1.5)$$

and

$$p(t, x, u) = p(t, |x|, u), \quad x \in B_R.$$

Our basic assumption is that the ratio  $R/T$  is rational. Thus, we can write

$$8R/T = a/b, \quad (1.6)$$

where  $a, b$  are relatively prime positive integers. We show that

$$n \not\equiv 3 \pmod{(4, a)} \quad (1.7)$$

implies that the linear problem corresponding to (1.1)–(1.3) has no essential spectrum.

If

$$n \equiv 3 \pmod{(4, a)}, \quad (1.8)$$

then the essential spectrum of the linear operator consists of precisely one point

$$\lambda_0 = -(n - 3)(n - 1)/4R^2. \quad (1.9)$$

We consider the nonlinear case for  $p(t, r, s)$  satisfying

$$|p(t, r, s)| \leq C(|s| + 1), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, r = |x|. \tag{1.10}$$

We assume that the point  $\mu$  is in the resolvent set of  $\square$  and

$$(m_- - \mu)s^2 - W_1(t, r) \leq 2P(t, r, s) \leq (m_+ - \mu)s^2 + W_2(t, r), \tag{1.11}$$

where

$$P(t, r, s) = \int_0^s p(t, r, \sigma) d\sigma, \tag{1.12}$$

$\mu \in (m_-, m_+)$  is contained in the resolvent set and the functions  $W_1, W_2$  are in  $L^1(Q, \rho)$  with  $Q = [0, T] \times [0, R]$  and  $\rho = r^{n-1}$ . We also assume that

$$H(t, r, s) := 2P(t, r, s) - sp(t, r, s) \tag{1.13}$$

satisfies

$$\limsup_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} H(t, r, s)/|s| \leq h(t, r) < 0. \tag{1.14}$$

Our main theorem is

**Theorem 1.1.** *If (1.7) holds, then (1.1)–(1.3) has a weak rotationally invariant solution. If (1.8) holds and  $m_- \geq \lambda_0$ , assume that  $p(t, r, s)$  is nondecreasing in  $s$ . If  $m_+ \leq \lambda_0$ , assume that  $p(t, r, s)$  is nonincreasing in  $s$ . Then (1.1)–(1.3) has a weak rotationally invariant solution.*

For the definition of essential spectrum, cf., e.g., [12].

Beginning with Smiley [13], several authors have examined the radially symmetric problem (1.1)–(1.3) (cf. [13, 14, 2, 3, 4, 1, 10, 5, 7, 8] and the references cited in them). The complications for this problem depend on the values of  $R$  and  $T$ . Only in [10] were all possible rational values of  $R/T$  considered.

In [13, 2, 1, 8] the hypotheses included inequalities of the form

$$p \leq \liminf \frac{f(u)}{u} \leq \limsup \frac{f(u)}{u} \leq q.$$

In [2] the authors examine radially symmetric solutions to the problem

$$\begin{aligned} u_{tt} - \Delta u + g(u) &= f(t, x), \\ u(t + T, \cdot) &= u(t, \cdot), \end{aligned}$$

where  $x$  belongs to a bounded ball  $B$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with radius  $R$ ,  $u$  satisfies the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions on  $\partial B$ , and  $R/T$  is rational. The existence of at least one weak solution is proved provided that  $g$  is asymptotically linear and the behaviour of  $g(u)/u$  for  $u$  tending to  $\pm\infty$  is suitably related to the eigenvalues of the operator  $Lv = v_{tt} - \Delta v, v(t + T, \cdot) = v(t, \cdot)$ .

In [4] irrational values of  $R/T$  are considered.

In [10] we proved Theorem 1.1 under the assumption

$$|p(t, r, s)| \leq C(|s|^\theta + 1), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{1.15}$$

holding for some  $\theta < 1$ . This assumption is a far greater restriction than (1.10) and (1.11).

What distinguishes the present paper from the results of others is that we cover all rational values of  $R/T$ , and our hypotheses are given in terms of the primitive

$$P(t, r, s) = \int_0^s p(t, r, \sigma) d\sigma, \tag{1.16}$$

of  $p(t, r, s)$  rather than the function  $p(t, r, s)$  itself.

## 2. THE SPECTRUM OF THE LINEAR OPERATOR

In dealing with problem (1.1)–(1.3), one needs to calculate the spectrum of the linear operator  $\square$  applied to periodic rotationally symmetric functions. Specifically, we shall need the following theorem proved in [10].

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $L_0$  be the operator*

$$L_0 u = u_{tt} - u_{rr} - r^{-1}(n - 1)u_r \tag{2.1}$$

*applied to functions  $u(t, r)$  in  $C^\infty(\bar{Q})$  satisfying*

$$u(T, r) = u(0, r), \quad u_t(T, r) = u_t(0, r), \quad 0 \leq r \leq R \tag{2.2}$$

$$u(t, R) = u_r(t, 0) = 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.3}$$

*where  $Q = [0, T] \times [0, R]$ . Then  $L_0$  is symmetric on  $L^2(Q, \rho)$ , where  $\rho = r^{n-1}$ . Assume that  $8R/T = a/b$ , where  $a, b$  are relatively prime integers (i.e.,  $(a, b) = 1$ ). Then  $L_0$  has a selfadjoint extension  $L$  having no essential spectrum other than the point  $\lambda_0 = -(n - 3)(n - 1)/4R^2$ . If  $n \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4, a}$ , then  $L$  has no essential spectrum. If  $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4, a}$ , then the essential spectrum of  $L$  is precisely the point  $\lambda_0$ .*

## 3. THE NONLINEAR CASE

We now turn to the problem solving (1.1)–(1.3). If one is searching for rotationally invariant solutions, the problem reduces to

$$Lu = f(t, r, u), \quad u \in D(L), \tag{3.1}$$

where  $L$  is the selfadjoint extension of the operator  $L_0$  given in Theorem 2.1. Under the hypotheses of that theorem the spectrum of  $L$  is discrete. We assume that  $f(t, r, s)$  is a Carathéodory function on  $Q \times \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$|f(t, r, s)| \leq C(|s| + 1), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{3.2}$$

We have

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $f(t, r, s)$  satisfy (1.11), (1.14), (3.2), and assume the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1. If*

$$n \not\equiv 3 \pmod{(4, a)} \quad (3.3)$$

*make no further assumptions. If*

$$n \equiv 3 \pmod{(4, a)} \quad (3.4)$$

*and  $m_- \geq \lambda_0$ , assume in addition that there is a point  $\mu \in (m_-, m_+)$  such that*

$$p(t, r, s) = f(t, r, s) - \mu s \quad (3.5)$$

*is nondecreasing in  $s$ . If  $m_+ \leq \lambda_0$ , assume that there is such a point such that  $p(t, r, s)$  is nonincreasing in  $s$ . Then (3.1) has at least one weak solution.*

The following theorem is used in the proof. We believe it is of interest in its own right. It was proved in [10].

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $N$  be a closed separable subspace of a Hilbert space  $E$ . Let  $G$  be a continuously differentiable functional on  $E$  such that*

$$v_n = Pu_n \rightarrow v \text{ weakly in } E, \quad w_n = (I - P)u_n \rightarrow w \text{ strongly in } E$$

*implies*

$$G'(v_n + w_n) \rightarrow G'(v + w) \text{ weakly in } E, \quad (3.6)$$

*where  $P$  is the projection of  $E$  onto  $N$ . Assume*

$$a_0 := \sup_N G < \infty, \quad b_0 := \inf_M G > -\infty. \quad (3.7)$$

*Then there is a sequence  $\{u_k\} \subset E$  such that*

$$G(u_k) \rightarrow c, \quad b_0 \leq c \leq a_0, \quad G'(u_k) \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.8)$$

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* Let

$$G(u) = ([L - \mu]u, u) - 2 \int \int_Q P(t, r, u) \rho dt dr, \quad u \in E \quad (3.9)$$

where

$$P(t, r, s) = \int_0^s p(t, r, \sigma) d\sigma \quad (3.10)$$

and the scalar product is that of  $L^2(Q, \rho)$ . One checks readily that  $G$  is a  $C^1$  functional on  $E$  with

$$(G'(u), v)/2 = ([L - \mu]u, v) - (p(u), v), \quad u, v \in E, \quad (3.11)$$

where we write  $p(u)$  in place of  $p(t, r, u)$ . This shows that  $u$  is a weak solution of (3.1) iff  $G'(u) = 0$ . Let  $N$  be the subspace of  $E$  spanned by the eigenvectors corresponding

to those eigenvalues  $< \mu$ , and let  $M$  denote the subspace of  $E$  spanned by the rest. Thus  $M = N^\perp$  in  $E$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} G(v) &= ([L - \mu]v, v) - 2 \int \int_Q P(t, r, v) \rho \, dt \, dr \\ &\leq (m_- - \mu) \|v\|^2 + (\mu - m_-) \|v\|^2 + B_1 = B_1, \quad v \in N, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$B_j = \int \int_Q W_j(t, r) \rho \, dt \, dr.$$

Also,

$$G(w) \geq (m_+ - \mu) \|w\|^2 - (m_+ - \mu) \|w\|^2 - B_2 = -B_2, \quad w \in M. \tag{3.12}$$

If  $\{u_k\} \subset E$  is a sequence converging weakly to  $u$  in  $E$ , then  $\{u_k\}$  has a renamed subsequence which converges strongly in  $L^2(Q, \rho)$  and a.e. in  $Q$ . This follows from the fact that the embedding of  $E$  in  $L^2(Q, \rho)$  is compact. Now

$$(G'(u_k), v)/2 = (w_k, v)_E - (v_k, v)_E - \mu(u_k, v) - (p(u_k), v), \quad v \in E, \tag{3.13}$$

where  $u_k = v_k + w_k$ ,  $v_k \in N$ ,  $w_k \in M$ . It follows that  $G'(u_k) \rightarrow G'(u)$  weakly in  $E$ . Hence all of the hypotheses of Theorem 3.2 are satisfied, and we can conclude that there is a sequence  $\{u_k\}$  satisfying (3.8). A compactness argument shows that

$$\|u_k\|_E \leq C. \tag{3.14}$$

Consequently, there is a renamed subsequence which converges weakly to  $u$  in  $E$ , a.e. in  $Q$  and strongly in  $L^2(Q, \rho)$ . Taking the limit in

$$(G'(u_k), v)/2 = ([L - \mu]u_k, v) - (p(u_k), v), \tag{3.15}$$

we obtain a weak solution of (3.1). □

### REFERENCES

- [1] A. K. Ben-Naoum and J. Berkovits, On the existence of periodic solutions for semilinear wave equation on a ball in  $R^n$  with the space dimension  $n$  odd, *Nonlinear Anal.* **24** (1995), no. 2, 241–250.
- [2] A. K. Ben-Naoum and J. Mawhin, Periodic solutions of some semilinear wave equations on balls and on spheres, *Top. Meth in Nonlinear Analysis* **1** (1993) 113–137.
- [3] A. K. Ben-Naoum and J. Mawhin, The periodic-Dirichlet problem for some semilinear wave equations, *J. Diff. Eq.* **96** (1992) 340–354.
- [4] J. Berkovits and J. Mawhin, Diophantine approximation, Bessel functions and radially symmetric periodic solutions of semilinear wave equations in a ball, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **353** 5041–5055, electronically published on July 13, 2001.
- [5] Y. Ding, S. Li, and M. Willem, Periodic solutions of symmetric wave equations, *J. Differential Equations* **145** (1998), no. 2, 217–241.
- [6] W. Kryszewski and A. Szulkin, Generalized linking theorems with an application to semilinear Schrodinger equation, *Advances Diff. Equations* **3** (1998) 441–472.

- [7] J. Mawhin, Nonlinear functional analysis and periodic solution of semilinear wave equation, in: *Nonlinear Phenomena in Mathematical Sciences* (Ed:Lakshmikantham), Academic Press, New York, 1982.
- [8] J. Mawhin, Periodic solutions of some semilinear wave equations and systems: a survey, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals* **5** (1995), no. 9, 1651–1669.
- [9] M. Schechter, Critical point theory with weak-to-weak linking, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **51** (1998), no. 11-12, 1247–1254.
- [10] M. Schechter, Rotationally invariant periodic solutions of semilinear wave equations, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **3** (1998), no. 1-2, 171–180.
- [11] M. Schechter, Periodic solutions of semilinear higher dimensional wave equations, *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **12** (2001), no. 6, 1029–1034.
- [12] M. Schechter, *Principles of functional analysis. Second edition. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 36.* American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.
- [13] M. W. Smiley, Time periodic solutions of nonlinear wave equations in balls, in: *Oscillations, Bifurcation and Chaos*, Canad. Math. Soc., Toronto, 1987.
- [14] M. W. Smiley, Eigenfunction methods and nonlinear hyperbolic boundary value problems at resonance, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **122** (1987), 129–151.
- [15] M. W. Smiley, On the existence of smooth breathers for nonlinear wave equations, *J. Differential Equations* **96** (1992), no. 2, 295–317.
- [16] G. Watson, *A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions*, University Press, Cambridge, 1922.