

**BOUNDEDNESS, PERIODIC SOLUTIONS AND STABILITY IN
NEUTRAL FUNCTIONAL DELAY EQUATIONS WITH
APPLICATION TO BERNOULLI TYPE DIFFERENTIAL
EQUATIONS**

YOUSSEF N. RAFFOUL AND MEHMET ÜNAL

Department of Mathematics, University of Dayton
Dayton, OH 45469-2316 USA

Department of Mathematics
Çanakkale Onsekiz Mart University
Çanakkale, Turkey

E-mail: yraffoul1@udayton.edu, munal@comu.edu.tr

ABSTRACT. We use Two fixed point theorems to prove the existence of Bounded solution, periodic solution and stability of solutions of the functional neutral differential equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}[x(t) - cx(t - \tau)] = -a(t)x(t - r_1) + b(t)f(x(t - r_2(t))).$$

Then we apply our results to the neutral Bernoulli differential equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}[x(t) - cx(t - \tau)] = -a(t)x(t - r_1) + b(t)x^{\frac{1}{3}}(t - r_2(t)).$$

AMS (MOS) Subject Classification. 34K20, 45J05, 45D05.

1. INTRODUCTION

Motivated by the papers [2], [23], [24], [25] and the references therein, we consider the functional neutral differential equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}[x(t) - cx(t - \tau)] = -a(t)x(t - r_1) + b(t)f(x(t - r_2(t))). \quad (1.1)$$

where $a : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ and $b : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ are continuous, $\tau, r_1 \geq 0$ and, $r_2 : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \gamma]$ for $\gamma > 0$ and constant c . In addition, we apply our obtained results to the neutral multiple delays Bernoulli differential equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}[x(t) - cx(t - \tau)] = -a(t)x(t - r_1) + b(t)x^{\frac{1}{3}}(t - r_2(t)). \quad (1.2)$$

In the case $c = 0$ equation (1.2) reduces to the one's in [2]. In [25], the author proved the existence of positive periodic solutions in neutral nonlinear equation with functional delay of the form

$$x'(t) = -a(t)x(t) + c(t)x'(t - g(t)) + q(t, x(t - g(t)))$$

which arises in a food-limited population models (see [4], [5]–[8], [10], [11], [12]), [18] and blood cell models, (see [1] and [22]). In addition, the author in [26]) used Krasnosel'skiĭ's fixed point theorem and proved the existence of positive periodic solution of the neutral delay differentia equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}[x(t) - ax(t - \tau)] = r(t)x(t) - f(t, x(t - \tau)).$$

For system (1.1), there may be a stable equilibrium point of the population. In the case the equilibrium point becomes unstable, there may exist a nontrivial periodic solution. Then the oscillation of solutions occurs. The existence of such stable periodic solution is of quite fundamental importance biologically since it concerns the long time survival of species. The study of such phenomena has become an essential part of qualitative theory of differential equations. For historical background, basic theory of periodicity, and discussions of applications of (1.1) to a variety of dynamical models we refer the interested reader to [14], [15], [16], [17], [19], [21], [27] and [30].

2. BOUNDEDNESS

In order to transfer our original problem into an integral equation problem, we put (1.1) into the form

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}[x(t) - cx(t - \tau)] &= -a(t + r_1)[x(t) - cx(t - \tau)] - ca(t + r_1)x(t - \tau) \\ &\quad + b(t)f(x(t - r_2(t))) + \frac{d}{dt} \int_{t-r_1}^t a(s + r_1)x(s)ds. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

Let $r \geq \max\{\tau, r_1, \gamma\}$ and assume the existence of an initial continuous function $\psi : [-r, 0] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that $x(t) = x(t, 0, \psi)$ for $t \geq 0$ and $x(t) = \psi(t)$ on $[-r, 0]$. A multiplication of both sides of (2.1) with the integrating factor $e^{\int_0^t a(s+r_1)ds}$ followed by integration from 0 to t yields

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) - cx(t - \tau) &= [x(0) - cx(-\tau)]e^{-\int_0^t a(u+r_1)du} \\ &\quad - c \int_0^t a(s + r_1)x(s - \tau)e^{-\int_s^t a(u+r_1)du} ds + \int_0^t b(s)f(x(s - r_2(s)))e^{-\int_s^t a(u+r_1)du} ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t e^{-\int_s^t a(u+r_1)du} \left[\frac{d}{ds} \int_{s-r_1}^s a(u + r_1)x(u)du \right] ds. \end{aligned}$$

Finally an integration by parts in the last term of the above expression gives

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= cx(t - \tau) + [\psi(0) - c\psi(-\tau)]e^{-\int_0^t a(u+r_1)du} \\ &\quad - c \int_0^t a(s + r_1)x(s - \tau)e^{-\int_s^t a(u+r_1)du} ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t b(s)f(x(s - r_2(s)))e^{-\int_s^t a(u+r_1)du} ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_{t-r_1}^t a(u+r_1)x(u)du - e^{-\int_0^t a(u+r_1)du} \int_{-r_1}^0 a(u+r_1)\psi(u)du \\
& - \int_0^t a(s+r_1)e^{-\int_s^t a(u+r_1)du} \int_{s-r_1}^s a(u+r_1)x(u)duds. \tag{2.2}
\end{aligned}$$

Krasnosel'skiĭ fixed point theorem has been extensively used in differential and functional differential equations, by Burton in proving the existence of periodic solutions. Also, Burton was the first to use the theorem to obtain stability results regarding solutions of integral equations and functional differential equations. For a collection of different type of results we refer the reader to [3] and the references therein. The author is unaware of any results regarding the use of Krasnosel'skiĭ to prove the existence of a positive periodic solution.

Theorem 2.1 (Krasnosel'skiĭ). *Let \mathbb{M} be a closed convex nonempty subset of a Banach space $(\mathbb{S}, \|\cdot\|)$. Suppose that A and B map \mathbb{M} into \mathbb{S} such that*

- (i) A is compact and continuous,
- (ii) B is a contraction mapping.
- (iii) $x, y \in \mathbb{M}$, implies $Ax + By \in \mathbb{M}$,

Then there exists $z \in \mathbb{M}$ with $z = Az + Bz$.

We are ready to use the above mentioned fixed point theorem to prove that all solutions of (1.1) are bounded. We are emphasizing boundedness over stability because the simple nonlinear Bernoulli equation

$$x' = -2x + x^{1/3},$$

is unstable but all its solutions are bounded. In preparation for our main theorem, we let $h : [-r, \infty) \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ be any strictly increasing and continuous function such that $h(-r) = 1$ and $h(s) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. For any continuous function Let \mathbb{S} be the space of all continuous functions $\phi : [-r, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$. Then $(\mathbb{S}, |\cdot|_h)$ is a Banach space where for $\phi \in \mathbb{S}$,

$$|\phi|_h = \sup_{t \geq -r} \left| \frac{\phi(t)}{h(t)} \right| < \infty.$$

The next proposition is useful in proving compactness. For more on compactness we refer the reader to p. 169 of [2].

Proposition 2.2. *For positive constants M and K the set*

$$\mathbb{L} = \{f \in \mathbb{S} : |f(t)| \leq M, \text{ on } [-r, \infty), \text{ and } |f(u) - f(v)| \leq K|u - v|\}$$

is compact.

Let L be a positive constant to be determined later and define

$$\mathbb{M} = \{\phi \in \mathbb{S} : |\phi(t)| \leq L, \text{ for } -r \leq t < \infty, \text{ and } \phi(t) = \psi(t), \text{ on } [-r, 0]\}.$$

In preparation for our main results we define our mappings. Let $\phi \in \mathbb{M}$ and define the mappings $\Gamma, \Upsilon : \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$ by

$$(\Gamma\phi)(t) = \int_0^t b(s)f(\phi(s - r_2(s)))e^{-\int_s^t a(u+r_1)du} ds \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\Upsilon\phi)(t) &= -c\phi(t - \tau) + [\psi(0) - c\psi(-\tau)]e^{-\int_0^t a(u+r_1)du} \\ &\quad - c \int_0^t a(s + r_1)\phi(s - \tau)e^{-\int_s^t a(u+r_1)du} ds \\ &\quad + \int_{t-r_1}^t a(u + r_1)\phi(u)du - e^{-\int_0^t a(u+r_1)du} \int_{-r_1}^0 a(u + r_1)\psi(u)du \\ &\quad - \int_0^t a(s + r_1)e^{-\int_s^t a(u+r_1)du} \int_{s-r_1}^s a(u + r_1)\phi(u)duds. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Since h is strictly increasing and $h : [-r, \infty) \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ we have for $0 \leq s \leq t$, $1 \leq h(s) \leq h(t) \Rightarrow \frac{1}{h(t)} \leq \frac{h(s)}{h(t)} \leq 1$. We begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose there positive constants constant β, λ and μ such that*

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \frac{|b(t)|}{a(t + r_1)} \leq \beta \quad (2.5)$$

and for $n = 1, 3, 5, \dots$

$$|f(x)| \leq \lambda \|x\|^{\frac{1}{n}}, \text{ for } |x| \leq \mu. \quad (2.6)$$

In addition, if

$$\begin{aligned} &\beta\lambda L^{\frac{1}{n}} + 2|c|L + \|\psi\|e^{-\int_0^t a(u+r_1)du} \left(1 + |c| + \int_{-r_1}^0 a(u + r_1)du\right) \\ &\quad + 2L \sup_{t \geq 0} \int_{t-r_1}^t a(u + r_1)du < L, \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

for a sufficiently small continuous initial function ψ . Then for $\phi, \eta \in \mathbb{M}$, we have $\Gamma\phi + \Upsilon\eta \in \mathbb{M}$.

Proof. Let $\|\cdot\|$ be the supremum norm on $[-r, \infty)$ of $\phi \in \mathbb{M}$, if ϕ is bounded. Thus, by (2.5) we have that $\phi \in \mathbb{M}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_0^t b(s)f(\phi(s - r_2(s)))e^{-\int_s^t a(u+r_1)du} ds \right|_h \\ &\leq \int_0^t |b(s)||f(\phi(s - r_2(s)))|e^{-\int_s^t a(u+r_1)du} / h(t) ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t |b(s)||f(\phi(s - r_2(s)))|e^{-\int_s^t a(u+r_1)du} h(s) / h(t) ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t |b(s)||f(\phi(s - r_2(s)))|e^{-\int_s^t a(u+r_1)du} h(t) / h(t) ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \beta\lambda L^{\frac{1}{n}} \int_0^t a(s+r_1)e^{-\int_s^t a(u+r_1)du} ds \\
&\leq \beta\lambda L^{\frac{1}{n}} \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds}(e^{-\int_s^t a(u+r_1)du}) ds \\
&= \beta\lambda L^{\frac{1}{n}} (1 - e^{-\int_0^t a(u+r_1)du}) \\
&\leq \beta\lambda L^{\frac{1}{n}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Similarly, for $\eta \in \mathbb{M}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\int_0^t a(s+r_1)e^{-\int_s^t a(u+r_1)du} \int_{s-r_1}^s a(u+r_1)\phi(u)duds|_h \\
&\leq \|\eta\| \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds}(e^{-\int_s^t a(u+r_1)du}) ds \sup_{t \geq 0} \int_{t-r_1}^t a(u+r_1)du \\
&\leq L \sup_{t \geq 0} \int_{t-r_1}^t a(u+r_1)du.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Thus, for $\phi, \eta \in \mathbb{M}$, we have by (2.8) and (2.9)

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Gamma\phi + \Upsilon\eta|_h &\leq \beta\lambda L^{\frac{1}{n}} + |c|L + \|\psi\|e^{-\int_0^t a(u+r_1)du}(1 + |c|) + |c|L \\
&\quad + L \sup_{t \geq 0} \int_{t-r_1}^t a(u+r_1)du + \|\psi\|e^{-\int_0^t a(u+r_1)du} \int_{-r_1}^0 a(u+r_1)du \\
&\quad + L \sup_{t \geq 0} \int_{t-r_1}^t a(u+r_1)du \\
&= \beta\lambda L^{\frac{1}{n}} + 2|c|L + \|\psi\|e^{-\int_0^t a(u+r_1)du}(1 + |c| + \int_{-r_1}^0 a(u+r_1)du) \\
&\quad + 2L \sup_{t \geq 0} \int_{t-r_1}^t a(u+r_1)du \\
&\leq L.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 2.4. *Suppose there is a positive constant K such that if*

$$|t_2 - t_1| \leq 1, \text{ then } |\int_{t_1}^{t_2} a(u+r_1)du| \leq K|t_2 - t_1|. \tag{2.10}$$

Also assume (2.5), (2.6) and (2.7) hold. Then $\Gamma\mathbb{M}$ resides is a compact set in the space $(\mathbb{S}, |\cdot|_h)$.

Proof. Let $\phi \in \mathbb{M}$. If $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < t_1 + 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
&|(\Gamma\phi)(t_2) - (\Gamma\phi)(t_1)| \\
&\leq \left| \int_0^{t_2} b(s)f(\phi(s-r_2(s)))e^{-\int_s^t a(u+r_1)du} ds \right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| - \int_0^{t_1} b(s) f(\phi(s - r_2(s))) e^{-\int_s^t a(u+r_1) du} ds \right| \\
= & \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} b(s) f(\phi(s - r_2(s))) e^{-\int_s^t a(u+r_1) du} ds \right. \\
& \left. + \left| \int_0^{t_1} b(s) [e^{-\int_s^{t_2} a(u+r_1) du} - e^{-\int_s^{t_1} a(u+r_1) du}] f(\phi(s - r_2(s))) ds \right| \right| \\
\leq & \beta \int_{t_1}^{t_2} a(s + r_1) ds \\
& + \lambda L^{\frac{1}{n}} \int_0^{t_1} |b(s)| |e^{-\int_0^{t_2} a(u+r_1) du} - e^{-\int_0^{t_1} a(u+r_1) du}| e^{\int_0^s a(u+r_1) du} ds.
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that by the Proposition, $\Gamma\mathbb{M}$ resides in a compact set. \square

Then there is a solution $x(t, 0, \psi)$ of (1.1) on $[0, \infty)$ with $|x(t, 0, \psi)| < L$.

3. EXISTENCE OF PERIODIC SOLUTIONS

Next we consider a perturbed version of equation (1.1) of the form

$$\frac{d}{dt}[x(t) - cx(t - \tau)] = -a(t)x(t - r_1) + b(t)f(x(t - r_2(t))) + p(t), \quad (3.1)$$

where $p : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is continuous and there exist a $T > 0$ such that

$$r_2(t + T) = r_2(t), \quad a(t + T) = a(t), \quad b(t + T) = b(t), \quad \text{and} \quad p(t + T) = p(t). \quad (3.2)$$

We note that due to the presence of the term $cx(t - \tau)$, once the equation is inverted then once will face with the term $cx(t - \tau)$ which may not define a compact mapping. Thus, Krasnosel'skiĭ fixed point theorem becomes ideal to prove the existence of periodic solution. In [2] the authors considered a simpler form of (3.1) and used Schaefer fixed point theorem to prove the existence of periodic solution. Again Schaefer fixed point theorem will not work for our equation.

Define $P_T = \{\phi : C(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}), \phi(t + T) = \phi(t)\}$ where $C(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R})$ is the space of all real valued continuous functions. Then P_T is a Banach space when it is endowed with the supremum norm

$$\|\phi\| = \max_{t \in [0, T]} |\phi(t)| = \max_{t \in \mathbf{R}} |\phi(t)|.$$

Let

$$\int_0^T a(s) ds \neq 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose (3.2) and (3.3) hold. If $x(t) \in P_T$, then $x(t)$ is a solution of (3.1) if and only if*

$$x(t) = cx(t - \tau) + \left(1 - e^{-\int_{t-T}^t a(s+r_1) ds}\right)^{-1} \left\{ -c \int_{t-T}^t a(s + r_1)x(s - \tau) e^{-\int_s^t a(u+r_1) du} ds \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_{t-T}^t b(s)f(x(s-r_2(s)))e^{-\int_s^t a(u+r_1)du}ds \\
& - \int_{t-T}^t a(s+r_1)e^{-\int_s^t a(u+r_1)du} \int_{s-r_1}^s a(u+r_1)x(u)duds \\
& + \int_{t-T}^t e^{-\int_s^t a(u+r_1)du}p(s)ds. \} \\
& + \int_{t-r_1}^t a(u+r_1)x(u)du \tag{3.4}
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $x(t) \in P_T$ be a solution of A multiplication of both sides of (2.1) with the integrating factor $e^{\int_0^t a(s+r_1)ds}$ followed by integration from $t-T$ to t yields

$$\begin{aligned}
x(t) \left(1 - e^{-\int_{t-T}^t a(s+r_1)ds}\right) e^{\int_0^t a(u+r_1)du} &= c \left(1 - e^{-\int_{t-T}^t a(s+r_1)ds}\right) e^{\int_0^t a(u+r_1)du} x(t-\tau) \\
& - c \int_{t-T}^t a(s+r_1)x(s-\tau)e^{\int_0^s a(u+r_1)du}ds \\
& + \int_{t-T}^t b(s)f(x(s-r_2(s)))e^{\int_0^s a(u+r_1)du}ds \\
& + \int_{t-T}^t e^{\int_0^s a(u+r_1)du} \left[\frac{d}{ds} \int_{s-r_1}^s a(u+r_1)x(u)du\right]ds \\
& + \int_{t-T}^t e^{\int_0^s a(u+r_1)du}p(s)ds. \tag{3.5}
\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$U = e^{\int_0^s a(u+r_1)du}$$

and

$$dV = \frac{d}{ds} \int_{s-r_1}^s a(u+r_1)x(u)du$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{t-T}^t e^{\int_0^s a(u+r_1)du} \left[\frac{d}{ds} \int_{s-r_1}^s a(u+r_1)x(u)du\right]ds \\
& = e^{\int_0^t a(u+r_1)du} \int_{t-r_1}^t a(u+r_1)x(u)du \\
& - e^{\int_0^{t-T} a(u+r_1)du} \int_{t-T-r_1}^{t-T} a(u+r_1)x(u)du \\
& - \int_{t-T}^t a(s+r_1)e^{\int_0^s a(u+r_1)du} \int_{s-r_1}^s a(u+r_1)x(u)duds \\
& = e^{\int_0^t a(u+r_1)du} \left(1 - e^{-\int_{t-T}^t a(s+r_1)ds}\right) \int_{t-r_1}^t a(u+r_1)x(u)du \\
& - \int_{t-T}^t a(s+r_1)e^{\int_0^s a(u+r_1)du} \int_{s-r_1}^s a(u+r_1)x(u)duds \tag{3.6}
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.6) into (3.5) and then dividing by $e^{\int_0^t a(u+r_1)du} \left(1 - e^{\int_{t-T}^t a(s+r_1)ds}\right)$ gives (3.4). This completes the proof. \square

Let L be a positive constant and define the set

$$\mathbb{M} = \{\phi \in P_T : \|\phi\| \leq L\}.$$

Then the set \mathbb{M} is a closed convex and bounded subset of the Banach space P_T . Let $\gamma = \sup_{t \geq 0} \left(1 - e^{-\int_{t-T}^t a(s+r_1)ds}\right)^{-1} \left| \int_{t-T}^t e^{-\int_s^t a(u+r_1)du} p(s) ds \right|$.

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose (2.5), (2.6), (2.10), and (3.2) hold. In addition, if*

$$2|c|L + \beta\lambda L^{\frac{1}{n}} + 2L \sup_{t \geq 0} \int_{t-r_1}^t a(u+r_1)du + \gamma < L, \quad (3.7)$$

then $x(t)$ is a solution of (3.1) in \mathbb{M} .

Proof. It is clear from (3.7) that

$$2|c| + 2 \sup_{t \geq 0} \int_{t-r_1}^t a(u+r_1)du < 1.$$

Let $\phi \in \mathbb{M}$ and define the mappings $\Gamma, \Upsilon : \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$ by

$$(\Gamma\phi)(t) = \left(1 - e^{-\int_{t-T}^t a(s+r_1)ds}\right)^{-1} \int_{t-T}^t b(s)f(x(s-r_2(s)))e^{-\int_s^t a(u+r_1)du} ds$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\Upsilon\phi)(t) = & cx(t-\tau) + \left(1 - e^{-\int_{t-T}^t a(s+r_1)ds}\right)^{-1} \left\{ -c \int_{t-T}^t a(s+r_1)x(s-\tau)e^{-\int_s^t a(u+r_1)du} ds \right. \\ & - \int_{t-T}^t a(s+r_1)e^{-\int_s^t a(u+r_1)du} \int_{s-r_1}^s a(u+r_1)x(u) du ds \\ & \left. + \int_{t-T}^t e^{-\int_s^t a(u+r_1)du} p(s) ds \right\} \\ & + \int_{t-r_1}^t a(u+r_1)x(u) du. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left(1 - e^{-\int_{t-T}^t a(s+r_1)ds}\right)^{-1} \int_{t-T}^t b(s)f(x(s-r_2(s)))e^{-\int_s^t a(u+r_1)du} ds \right| \\ & \leq \beta\lambda L^{\frac{1}{n}} \left(1 - e^{-\int_{t-T}^t a(s+r_1)ds}\right)^{-1} \int_{t-T}^t \left[\frac{d}{ds} e^{-\int_s^t a(u+r_1)du}\right] ds \\ & = \beta\lambda L^{\frac{1}{n}} \left(1 - e^{-\int_{t-T}^t a(s+r_1)ds}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - e^{-\int_{t-T}^t a(s+r_1)ds}\right) \\ & = \beta\lambda L^{\frac{1}{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

The rest of the proof of the theorem follows along the lines of the proofs of Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.2. \square

4. POSITIVE PERIODIC SOLUTIONS

In this section we obtain necessary conditions for the the existence of a positive periodic solution of (3.1). As a consequence of conditions (3.2) and (4.2) there are positive constants m_1^* , m_2^* , p_1 , and p_2 so that

$$m_1^* = \inf_{t \geq 0} \int_{t-r_1}^t a(u+r_1)du \text{ and } m_2^* = \sup_{t \geq 0} \int_{t-r_1}^t a(u+r_1)du,$$

and

$$p_1^* \leq \left(1 - e^{-\int_{t-T}^t a(s+r_1)ds}\right)^{-1} \int_{t-T}^t e^{-\int_s^t a(u+r_1)du} p(s)ds \leq p_2^*. \tag{4.1}$$

We modify condition (2.5) by assuming the existence of positive constants α and β so that

$$0 \leq \alpha a(t+r_1) \leq b(t) \leq \beta a(t+r_1). \tag{4.2}$$

In order to show one of the mapping is a contraction, we must require that

$$|c| < 1. \tag{4.3}$$

As a consequence, we are led to consider to consider the two case; $0 \leq c < 1$, and $-1 < c \leq 0$. For some nonnegative constant L and a positive constant K we define the set

$$\mathbb{M} = \{\phi \in P_T : L \leq \|\phi\| \leq K\},$$

which is a closed convex and bounded subset of the Banach space P_T . Assume $0 \leq c < 1$. Then there are nonnegative constants m_1, m_2 such that

$$0 \leq m_1 \leq c \leq m_2 < 1. \tag{4.4}$$

In addition, we assume that for all $u \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 \leq L \leq \rho \leq K$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq & \frac{L(1 - m_1 - m_1^*) + K(m_2 + m_2^*) - p_1^*}{\alpha} \\ \leq & f(u, \rho) \leq \frac{K(1 - m_2 - m_2^*) + L(m_1 + m_1^*) - p_2^*}{\beta}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

To apply Theorem 2.1, we will need to construct two mappings; one is contraction and the other is compact. Thus, we set the map $\mathbf{A} : \mathbb{M} \rightarrow P_T$

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{A}\phi)(t) = & \left(1 - e^{-\int_{t-T}^t a(s+r_1)ds}\right)^{-1} \left\{ -c \int_{t-T}^t a(s+r_1)\phi(s-\tau)e^{-\int_s^t a(u+r_1)du} ds \right. \\ & + \int_{t-T}^t b(s)f(\phi(s-r_2(s)))e^{-\int_s^t a(u+r_1)du} ds \\ & - \int_{t-T}^t a(s+r_1)e^{-\int_s^t a(u+r_1)du} \int_{s-r_1}^s a(u+r_1)\phi(u)duds \\ & \left. + \int_{t-T}^t e^{-\int_s^t a(u+r_1)du} p(s)ds. \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \int_{t-r_1}^t a(u+r_1)\phi(u)du$$

In a similar way we set the map $\mathbf{B} : \mathbb{M} \rightarrow P_T$

$$(\mathbf{B}\varphi)(t) = c\varphi(t-\tau), t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Theorem 4.1. *Assume (2.10), (3.2), (4.2), (4.3) and (4.5) with $0 \leq c < 1$ hold. If*

$$\int_{t-T}^t a(s+r_1)ds > 0 \quad (4.6)$$

then (3.1) has a positive periodic solution z satisfying $0 \leq L \leq z \leq K$.

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \mathbb{M}$. Then by (4.3), \mathbf{B} defines a contraction mapping under the supremum norm. Moreover, for $\varphi, \phi \in P_T$, the mappings \mathbf{B} and \mathbf{A} are periodic. Showing \mathbf{A} is compact is similar to the previous proof and hence we omit it. Left to show that for $\varphi, \phi \in \mathbb{M}$ implies $\mathbf{B}\varphi + \mathbf{A}\phi \in \mathbb{M}$. Let $\varphi, \phi \in \mathbb{M}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{B}\varphi)(t) + (\mathbf{A}\psi)(t) &= c\varphi(t-\tau) \\ &+ \left(1 - e^{-\int_{t-T}^t a(s+r_1)ds}\right)^{-1} \left\{ -c \int_{t-T}^t a(s+r_1)\phi(s-\tau)e^{-\int_s^t a(u+r_1)du}ds \right. \\ &+ \int_{t-T}^t b(s)f(\phi(s-r_2(s)))e^{-\int_s^t a(u+r_1)du}ds \\ &+ \left. \int_{t-r_1}^t a(u+r_1)\phi(u)du \right\} \\ &\leq m_2K - m_1L + \beta \frac{K(1 - m_2 - m_2^*) + L(m_1 + m_1^*) - p_2^*}{\beta} \\ &- m_1^*L + p_2^* + m_2^*K \\ &\leq K. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\|\mathbf{B}\varphi + (\mathbf{A}\psi)\| \leq K.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{B}\varphi)(t) + (\mathbf{A}\psi)(t) &\geq m_1L - m_2^*K + \alpha \frac{L(1 - m_1 - m_1^*) + K(m_2 + m_2^*) - p_1^*}{\alpha} \\ &- m_2K + p_1^* + m_1^*L \\ &\geq L. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\|\mathbf{B}\varphi + (\mathbf{A}\psi)\| \geq L.$$

This shows that $\mathbf{B}\varphi + \mathbf{A}\psi \in \mathbb{M}$. All the hypothesis of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied and therefore equation (3.1) has a periodic solution, say z residing in \mathbb{M} . \square

For the next theorem we assume $-1 < c \leq 0$. Then there are non positive constants m_1, m_2 such that

$$-1 < m_1 \leq c \leq m_2 \leq 0.$$

In addition, we assume that for all $u \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 \leq L \leq \rho \leq K$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{L(1 - m_1 - m_1^* + m_2) + m_2^*K - p_2^*}{\beta} \\ &\leq f(u, \rho) \leq \frac{K(1 - m_2 - m_2^* + m_1) + m_1^*L - p_1^*}{\alpha}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Theorem 4.2. *Assume (2.10), (3.2), (4.2), (4.3) and (4.7) with $-1 < c \leq 0$.*

Then (3.1) has a positive periodic solution z satisfying $0 \leq L \leq z \leq K$.

Proof. The proof follows along the lines of the proof of Theorem 4.1 and hence we omit. □

Next we display an example in which we show the existence of positive periodic solution.

5. EXAMPLE

The neutral differential equation

$$x'(t) = -\frac{1}{2} \sin^2(t)x(t - \pi) + \frac{1}{2}x'(t - \pi) + \frac{\sin^2(t)/2}{x^2(t - \pi) + 1} + \frac{1}{25} \tag{5.1}$$

has a positive π -periodic solution x satisfying

$$0.64 \leq x \leq 1.$$

Here we have $c = \frac{1}{2}$ and hence we use Theorem 4.1. By looking at the equation we can see that

$$a(t) = b(t) = \frac{1}{2} \sin^2(t), \quad f(t, x(t - \pi)) = \frac{1}{x^2(t - \pi) + 1}, \quad T = \pi, \quad \text{and } p(t) = \frac{1}{25}.$$

Then,

$$m_1 = m_2 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \text{and } \alpha = \beta = 1.$$

Since,

$$e^{\int_{t-\pi}^t a(s+r_1)ds} = e^{\int_{t-\pi}^t \frac{1}{2} \sin^2(s-\pi)ds} = \pi/4,$$

we have

$$m_1^* = m_2^* = \pi/4.$$

Moreover, after some calculation we arrive at

$$\left(1 - e^{-\int_{t-T}^t a(s+r_1)ds}\right)^{-1} \int_{t-T}^t e^{-\int_s^t a(u+r_1)du} p(s)ds = \frac{1}{25(1 - e^{-\pi/4})} e^{(-\pi^2/8 + (\pi/8) \sin(2t))}.$$

As a consequence, we have

$$p_1^* = \frac{1}{25(1 - e^{-\pi/4})} e^{-\pi^2/8} = .02141,$$

and

$$p_2^* = \frac{1}{25(1 - e^{-\pi/4})} e^{-\pi^2/8 + \pi/8} = .031708.$$

By letting $K = 1$, and $L = 0.64$ we see that all the conditions of Theorem 4.1 are satisfied and hence Equation (5.1) has a positive π -periodic solution x satisfying $0.64 \leq x \leq 1$.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Beretta, F. Solimano, and Y. Takeuchi, *A mathematical model for drug administration by using the phagocytosis of red blood cells*, J Math Biol. 1996 Nov; 35(1), 1–19.
- [2] T. A. Burton and T. Furumochi, *Asymptotic behavior of solutions of functional differential equations by fixed point theorems*, Dynamic Systems Appl., 11 (2002), 459–521.
- [3] T. A. Burton, *Stability by Fixed Point Theory for Functional Differential Equations*, Dover, New York, 2006.
- [4] Y. Chen, New results on positive periodic solutions of a periodic integro-differential competition system, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 153 (2) (2004), 557–565.
- [5] F. D. Chen, Positive periodic solutions of neutral Lotka-Volterra system with feedback control, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 162 (3) (2005), 1279–1302.
- [6] F. D. Chen, Periodicity in a nonlinear predator-prey system with state dependent delays, *Acta Math. Appl. Sinica English Series*, 21 (1) (2005), 49–60.
- [7] F. D. Chen and S. J. Lin, Periodicity in a Logistic type system with several delays, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 48 (1-) (2004), 35–44.
- [8] F. D. Chen, F. X. Lin, and X. X. Chen, Sufficient conditions for the existence of positive periodic solutions of a class of neutral delay models with feedback control, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 158 (1) (2004), 45–68.
- [9] S. N. Chow, Existence of periodic solutions of autonomous functional differential equations, *J. Differential Equations*, 15(1974), 350–378.
- [10] M. Fan and K. Wang, Global periodic solutions of a generalized n-species Gilpin-Ayala competition model, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 40 (2000), 1141–1151.
- [11] M. Fan, P. Y. Wong, and R. P. Agarwal, Periodicity and stability in a periodic n-species Lotka-Volterra competition system with feedback controls and deviating arguments, *Acta Math. Sinica*, 19 (4) (2003), 801–822.
- [12] M. E. Gilpin and F. J. Ayala, Global models of growth and competition, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA* 70 (1973) 3590–3593.
- [13] K. Gopalsamy, *Stability and Oscillations in delay differential equations of population dynamics*, Kluwer Academic Press, Boston, 1992.
- [14] K. Gopalsamy, X. He, and L. Wen, On a periodic neutral logistic equation, *Glasgow Math. J.*, 33 (1991), 281–286.
- [15] H. F. Huo and W. T. Li, Periodic solutions of a periodic Lotka-Volterra system with delay, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 156 (3) (2004), 787–803.

- [16] D. Q. Jiang and J. J. Wei, *Existence of positive periodic solutions for Volterra integro-differential equations*, Acta Mathematica Scientia, 21B(4)(2002), 553–560.
- [17] L. Y. Kun, *Periodic solution of a periodic neutral delay equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 214 (1997), 11–21.
- [18] Y. K. Li and Y. Kuang, *Periodic solutions of periodic delay Lotka-Volterra equations and Systems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 255 (1) (2001), 260–280.
- [19] Y. Li, G. Wang, and H. Wang, *Positive periodic solutions of neutral logistic equations with distributed delays*, Electron. J. Diff. Eqns., Vol. 2007(2007), No. 13, 1–10.
- [20] E. R. Kaufmann and Y. N. Raffoul, *Periodic solutions for a neutral nonlinear dynamical equation on a time scale*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 319 (2006), 315–325.
- [21] Y. K. Li, *Periodic solutions for delay Lotka-Volterra competition systems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 246 (1) (2000) 230–244.
- [22] Z. Li and X. Wang, *Existence of positive periodic solutions for neutral functional differential equations*, Electron. J. Diff. Eqns., Vol. 2006(2006), No. 34, 1–8.
- [23] Y. N. Raffoul, *Periodic solutions for neutral nonlinear differential equations with functional delay*, Electron. J. Diff. Eqns., Vol. 2003(2003), No. 102, 1–7.
- [24] Y. N. Raffoul, *Stability in neutral nonlinear differential equations with functional delays using fixed point theory*, Math. Comput. Modelling, 40 (2004), no. 7-8, 691–700.
- [25] Y. N. Raffoul, *Existence of positive periodic solutions in neutral nonlinear equations with functional delay*, Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics, 42 (2012), no. 6, 1–11.
- [26] Y. N. Raffoul, *Positive periodic solutions in neutral nonlinear differential equations*, Electronic Journal of Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations, Vol. 2007(2007), No. 10, 1–10.
- [27] W. J. H. So and J. Wu, X. Zou, *Structured population on two patches: modeling despersal and delay*, J. Math. Biol., 43 (2001), 37–51.
- [28] Y. Song, *Positive periodic solutions of a periodic survival red blood cell model*, Applicable Analysis, Volume 84, Number 11 / November 2005.
- [29] M. Wazewska-Czyzewska and A. Lasota, *Mathematical models of the red cell system*, Matematyka Stosowana, 6(1976), 25–40.
- [30] P. Weng and M. Liang, *The existence and behavior of periodic solution of Hematopoiesis model*, Mathematica Applicata., 8(4) (1995), 434–439.
- [31] W. Xu and J. Li, *Global attractivity of the model for the survival of red blood cells with several delays*, Ann. Differential Equations, 14 (1998), 257–263.