

# Completeness Results in Probabilistic Metric Spaces, I

S.B. Hosseini<sup>1</sup> and R. Saadati<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>Department of Mathematics

Islamic Azad University

Amol, P.O. Box 678, Iran

<sup>1</sup>sbhosaini@niau.ac.ir

<sup>2</sup>rsaadati@eml.cc

*Communicated by K. Farahmand*

**ABSTRACT:** In this paper, we define probabilistic limit point and consider completeness in probabilistic metric spaces.

**AMS (MOS) Subject Classification.** 54E70, 54E50

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Menger [5] introduced the notion of a probabilistic metric spaces in 1942 and since then the theory of probabilistic metric spaces has developed in many directions, see Schweizer and Sklar [7], Schweizer et al [8]. The idea of K. Menger was to use distribution functions instead of nonnegative real numbers as values of the metric. It may also turn out to be relevant to the study of *physical quantities*. In the sequel, we shall adopt usual terminology, notation and conventions of the theory of probabilistic metric spaces, as in Beg [1], Chang et al [2], Pap et al [6], Schweizer and Sklar [7], Schweizer et al [9].

In the sequel, the space of all probability distribution functions (briefly, d.f.'s) is  $\Delta^+ = \{F : \mathbf{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\} \rightarrow [0, 1] : F \text{ is left-continuous and non-decreasing on } \mathbf{R}, F(0) = 0 \text{ and } F(+\infty) = 1\}$  and the subset  $D^+ \subseteq \Delta^+$  is the set

$$D^+ = \{F \in \Delta^+ : l^-F(+\infty) = 1\}.$$

The space  $\Delta^+$  is partially ordered by the usual point-wise ordering of functions, i.e.,  $F \leq G$  if and only if  $F(x) \leq G(x)$  for all  $x$  in  $\mathbf{R}$ . The maximal element for  $\Delta^+$  in this order is the d.f. given by

$$\varepsilon_0 = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \leq 0, \\ 1, & \text{if } x > 0. \end{cases}$$

A triangle function is a binary operation on  $\Delta^+$ , namely a function  $\tau : \Delta^+ \times \Delta^+ \rightarrow \Delta^+$  that is associative, commutative, nondecreasing and which has  $\varepsilon_0$  as unit, that is, for all  $F, G, H \in \Delta^+$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(\tau(F, G), H) &= \tau(F, \tau(G, H)), \\ \tau(F, G) &= \tau(G, F), \\ F \leq G &\implies \tau(F, H) \leq \tau(G, H), \\ \tau(F, \varepsilon_0) &= F.\end{aligned}$$

Continuity of a triangle functions means continuity with respect to the topology of weak convergence in  $\Delta^+$ . Typical continuous triangle functions are

$$\tau_T(F, G)(x) = \sup_{s+t=x} T(F(s), G(t)),$$

and

$$\tau_{T^*}(F, G) = \inf_{s+t=x} T^*(F(s), G(t)).$$

Here  $T$  is a continuous t-norm, i.e. a continuous binary operation on  $[0, 1]$  that is commutative, associative, nondecreasing in each variable and has 1 as identity, and  $T^*$  is a continuous t-conorm, namely a continuous binary operation on  $[0, 1]$  which is related to the continuous t-norm  $T$  through  $T^*(x, y) = 1 - T(1 - x, 1 - y)$ .

**Definition 1.1.** A *Probabilistic Metric* (briefly PM) space is a triple  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \tau)$ , where  $X$  is a nonempty set,  $\tau$  is a continuous triangle function, and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a mapping from  $X \times X$  into  $\Delta^+$  such that, if  $F_{p,q}$  denotes the value of  $\mathcal{F}$  at the pair  $(p, q)$ , the following conditions hold for all  $p, q, r$  in  $X$ :

- (PM1)  $F_{p,q} = \varepsilon_0$  if and only if,  $p = q$ ;
- (PM2)  $F_{p,q} = F_{q,p}$  ;
- (PM3)  $F_{p,q} \geq \tau(F_{p,r}, F_{r,q})$  for all  $p, q, r \in X$ .

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \tau)$  be a PM space. For each  $p$  in  $X$  and  $\lambda > 0$ , the strong  $\lambda$ -neighborhood of  $p$  is the set

$$N_p(\lambda) = \{q \in X : F_{p,q}(\lambda) > 1 - \lambda\},$$

and the strong neighborhood system for  $X$  is the union  $\bigcup_{p \in V} \mathcal{N}_p$ , where  $\mathcal{N}_p = \{N_p(\lambda) : \lambda > 0\}$ .

The strong neighborhood system for  $X$  determines a Hausdorff topology for  $X$ .

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \tau)$  be a PM space. Then a sequence  $\{p_n\}_n$  in  $X$  is said to be strongly convergent to  $p$  in  $X$  if for every  $\lambda > 0$ , there exists positive integer

$N$  such that  $p_n \in N_p(\lambda)$  whenever  $n \geq N$ . Also the sequence  $\{p_n\}_n$  in  $X$  is called strongly Cauchy sequence if for every  $\lambda > 0$ , there exists positive integer  $N$  such that  $F_{p_n, p_m}(\lambda) > 1 - \lambda$  whenever  $m, n \geq N$ . A PM space  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \tau)$  is said to be strongly complete in the strong topology if and only if every strongly Cauchy sequence in  $X$  is strongly convergent to a point in  $X$ . Let  $S \subseteq X$ . Then  $p \in X$  is said to be probabilistic limit point of  $S$  whenever for every  $G \in D^+$  and  $G < \varepsilon_0$

$$D_p(G) \cap (S - \{p\}) \neq \emptyset,$$

where

$$D_p(G) = \{q \in X : F_{p,q} > \varepsilon_0 - G\}.$$

A topological space is said to be strongly topologically complete if there exists a strong complete probabilistic metric inducing the given topology on it (see Megginson [4]).

**Example 1.4.** Let  $X = (0, 1]$ . If we consider PM space  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \tau)$  where  $F_{p,q} = \varepsilon_{|p-q|}$  and  $\tau$  is a triangle function such that  $\tau(\varepsilon_a, \varepsilon_b) = \varepsilon_{a+b}$  for all  $a, b \geq 0$  (see Lafuerza-Guillén et al [3], Example 1.1), then this PM space is not strong complete because the Cauchy sequence  $\{1/n\}$  is not convergent. Now consider the PM space  $(X, \mathcal{F}', \tau)$ , where the probabilistic metric define by  $F'_{p,q} = \varepsilon_{|p-q| + |\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}|}$  and  $\tau(\varepsilon_a, \varepsilon_b) = \varepsilon_{a+b}$ , ( $a \geq 0, b \geq 0$ ). Then  $(X, \mathcal{F}', \tau)$  is strongly complete PM space. Since  $p_n$  tend to  $p$  with respect to probabilistic metric  $\mathcal{F}$  if and only if  $|p_n - p| \rightarrow 0$ , and if and only if  $p_n$  tends to  $p$  with respect to probabilistic metric  $\mathcal{F}'$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{F}'$  are equivalent probabilistic metrics. Hence  $X$  is strongly topologically complete.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \tau)$  be a PM space and  $S$  be a compact subset of  $X$ . Then every infinite subset of  $S$  has a limit point in  $S$ .*

We omit the proof because it is same as the usual one.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \tau)$  be a PM space and  $S$  be a compact subset of  $X$ . Then  $S$  is strongly complete.*

**Proof.** Let  $\{p_n\} \subseteq S$  be a strongly Cauchy sequence and  $A = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$  be the range of  $\{p_n\}$ . If  $A$  is a finite set, then  $\{p_n\}$  is strongly convergent to an element of  $S$ . If  $A$  is an infinite set, then  $A$  has a probabilistic limit point  $p_0$  in  $S$ . We show that  $p_n \rightarrow p_0$ . For each d.f.  $G$  we can find a  $H \in D^+$  and  $H < \varepsilon_0$  such that  $\tau(\varepsilon_0 - H, \varepsilon_0 - H) \geq \varepsilon_0 - G$ . Since  $\{p_n\}$  is a strongly Cauchy sequence, there exists

$N \in \mathbf{N}$  such that for each  $m, n \geq N$ ,  $F_{p_m, p_n} > \varepsilon_0 - H$ . Since  $p_0$  is probabilistic limit point of  $S$ , we have

$$D_{p_0}(H) \cap (S - \{p_0\}) \neq \emptyset.$$

Consequently there exists  $m \geq N$  such that  $p_m \in D_{p_0}(H)$ . Now for each  $n \geq N$ , we have,

$$\begin{aligned} F_{p_n, p_0} &\geq \tau(F_{p_n, p_m}, F_{p_m, p_0}) \\ &\geq \tau(\varepsilon_0 - H, \varepsilon_0 - H) \\ &\geq \varepsilon_0 - G. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $G$  was arbitrary, it follows that  $F_{p_n, p_0} \rightarrow \varepsilon_0$  or  $p_n \rightarrow p_0$ . Hence  $S$  is strongly complete.  $\square$

Similarly as the proof of the above theorem, we can prove following theorem.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \tau)$  be a PM space,  $S$  be a compact subset of  $X$ , and  $\{p_n\} \subseteq S$ . Then  $\{p_n\}$  has a convergent subsequence in  $X$ .*

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $(Y, \mathcal{F}, \tau)$  be a PM space and  $X$  be a strongly topologically complete subset of  $Y$ . Then  $X$  is a  $G_\delta$  subset of  $Y$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}', \tau)$  be strongly complete PM space that induces the same topology for  $X$  as does  $\mathcal{F}$ . For each  $p \in X$  and each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , let  $H_n$  be a d.f. such that,  $H_n < G_n$ ,  $G_n$  decreasingly tend to  $\varepsilon_\infty$  and  $F'_{r,p} > \varepsilon_0 - G_n$ , whenever  $r \in X$  and  $F_{r,p} > \varepsilon_0 - H_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{O}_n = \cup_n \{D_p(H_n) : p \in X\}$  for each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , and  $\Gamma = \cap_n \{\mathcal{O}_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is a  $G_\delta$  subset of  $Y$  which clearly contains  $X$ . It is enough to shown that  $\Gamma \subseteq X$ . Let  $p_0 \in \Gamma$ . Then  $p_0 \in \mathcal{O}_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Hence for each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , there is  $p_n \in X$  such that  $p_0 \in D_{p_n}(H_n)$ . Therefore  $F_{p_0, p_n} > \varepsilon_0 - H_n > \varepsilon_0 - G_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . This means that  $p_n \rightarrow p_0$  in  $Y$ . Now let  $E \in D^+$ ,  $E < \varepsilon_0$  and  $N \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $\tau(\varepsilon_0 - G_N, \varepsilon_0 - G_N) > \varepsilon_0 - E$ . Let  $m \in \mathbf{N}$  be such that

$$\tau(\varepsilon_0 - G_m, F_{p_0, p_N}) > \varepsilon_0 - H_N.$$

Now for every  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $k > m$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{p_k, p_N} &\geq \tau(F_{p_k, p_0}, F_{p_N, p_0}) \\ &> \tau(\varepsilon_0 - G_k, F_{p_N, p_0}) \\ &\geq \tau(\varepsilon_0 - G_m, F_{p_N, p_0}) \\ &> \varepsilon_0 - H_N. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $F'_{p_k, p_N} > \varepsilon_0 - G_N$ . If  $k, l > m$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} F'_{p_k, p_l} &\geq \tau(F'_{p_k, p_N}, F'_{p_N, p_l}) \\ &\geq \tau(\varepsilon_0 - G_N, \varepsilon_0 - G_N) \\ &> \varepsilon_0 - E. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the sequence  $\{p_n\}$  is a strongly Cauchy sequence in the PM space  $(X, \mathcal{F}', \tau)$  and so strong convergent to some member of  $X$ . Since  $p_n \rightarrow p_0$  in  $Y$ , it follows that  $p_0 \in X$ , so  $\Gamma \subseteq X$ .  $\square$

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to the referee for his valuable suggestions.

### REFERENCES

- [1] I. Beg, Fixed points of contractive mappings on probabilistic Banach spaces, *Stoch Anal. Appl.*, **19** (2001), 455-460.
- [2] S.S. Chang, B.S. Lee, Y.J. Cho, Y.Q. Chen, S.M. Kang and J.S. Jung, Generalized contraction mapping principles and differential equations in probabilistic metric spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **124** (1996), 2367-2376.
- [3] B. Lafuerza-Guillén, A. Rodríguez-Lallena and C. Sempí, A study of boundedness in probabilistic normed spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **232** (1999), 183-196.
- [4] R.E. Megginson, *An Introduction to Banach Space Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
- [5] K. Menger, Statistical metrics, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA*, **28** (1942), 535-537.
- [6] E. Pap, O. Hadzic and R. Mesiar, A fixed point theorem in probabilistic metric spaces and an application, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **202** (1996), 433-449.
- [7] B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, *Probabilistic Metric Spaces*, Elsevier-North Holland, New York, 1983.
- [8] B. Schweizer, A. Sklar and E. Throp, The metrization of SM-spaces, *Pacific J. Math.*, **10** (1960), 673-675.
- [9] B. Schweizer, H. Sherwood and R.M. Tardiff, Contractions on PM-space examples and counterexamples, *Stochastica*, **XII** (1988), no. 1, 5-17.

